IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON PORTLAND DIVISION

ANDREW LAWHORN,

No. 3:13-cv-00319-JE

Plaintiff,

OPINION AND ORDER

v.

CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

MOSMAN, J.,

Judge Jelderks recommended [21] that a judgment be entered AFFIRMING the Commissioner's decision that Plaintiff was not disabled and DISMISSING Plaintiff's motion for remand with prejudice on March 13, 2014.

DISCUSSION

The magistrate judge makes only recommendations to the court, to which any party may file written objections. I am not bound by the recommendations of the magistrate judge; instead, I retain responsibility for making the final determination. I am required to review de novo those portions of the report or any specified findings or recommendations within it to which an objection is made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). However, I am not required to review, de novo or under any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those

portions of the F&R to which no objections are addressed. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003). While the level of scrutiny under which I am required to review the F&R depends on whether objections have been filed, in either case I am free to accept, reject, or modify any part of the F&R. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Upon review, I agree with Judge Jelderk's recommendation, and I ADOPT the F&R [21] as my own opinion.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 19th day of June, 2014.

/s/ Michael W. Mosman MICHAEL W. MOSMAN United States District Judge